

1 **Amendment to the Claims**

2 In the Claims:

3 Please amend Claims 12, 29, and 32 as follows:

4 Claims 1-11 (Previously Cancelled)

5 12. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method for automatically selecting a
6 quality level when compressing each of a set of image files to produce compressed image files, so
7 that a total size of the compressed image file does not exceed a predefined limit, comprising the steps
8 of:

9 (a) processing the image files to determine a maximally compressed file size for
10 each image file when compressed to a predefined minimum quality level and to determine a nominal
11 compressed file size when compressed to a nominal quality level, and to determine a weight for each
12 image file based upon a high frequency energy content of the image file;

13 (b) identifying image files of the set that are to be compressed with the predefined
14 minimum quality level as a function of:

15 (i) the maximally compressed file size of each image file when
16 compressed to the predefined minimum quality level; and

17 (ii) the weight of each image file;

18 (c) for all other image files of the set that were not identified to be compressed
19 with the predefined minimum quality level in step (b), determining a quality level for compressing
20 the other image files so that each of the other image files is to be compressed to a desired size
21 selected as a function of the weight of the image file and so that the total size of the compressed
22 image files is does not exceed the predefined limit; and

23 (d) compressing the image files identified in step (b) with the predefined minimum
24 quality level, and all of the other image files not identified in step (b) with the quality level that was
25 determined in step (c).

26 13. (Original) The method of Claim 12, further comprising the step of limiting the quality
27 level that is used for compressing the image files to a predetermined range that extends from the
28 predefined minimum quality level to a substantially higher predefined maximum quality level.

29 14. (Original) The method of Claim 12, further comprising the step of determining a scaling
30 factor based upon a currently available space remaining for the compressed files within the

1 predefined limit and a total of the weight of all of the other image files, wherein the step of
2 identifying image files that will be compressed with the predefined minimum quality level is repeated
3 in successive passes through the set of image files, until a pass through the set of image files is
4 completed without identifying any additional image file to be compressed to the predefined minimum
5 quality level.

6 15. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 12, wherein the step of determining the
7 quality level that will be used for compressing the other image files in step (c) comprises the steps of:

8 (a) determining a desired size for the compressed image file for each of the other
9 image files in the set that was not identified in step (b) of Claim 12, said desired size for the
10 compressed image file being determined as a function of the weight of the image file;

11 (b) determining an optimal quality level to apply to each of the other image files to
12 achieve the desired size when the image file is compressed; and

13 (c) determining a difference between the desired size and an actual size of the
14 image file when it is compressed to the optimal quality level.

15 16. (Previously Presented) The method of Claim 15, wherein the step of determining the
16 optimal quality level for each image file comprises the steps of:

17 (a) starting with the nominal quality level, determining if the nominal compressed
18 file size is less than the desired size by no more than a predefined difference, and if so, assigning the
19 nominal quality level as the optimal quality level; and if not,

20 (b) reducing a range from which to select a new quality level to try as the optimal
21 quality level when compressing the image file, where the new quality level is determined using a
22 model relating image quality to compressed file size;

23 (c) determining if the compressed file size resulting from compressing the image
24 file using the new quality level is less than the desired size by no more than the predefined difference,
25 and if so, assigning the new quality level as the optimal quality level; and if not,

26 (d) repeating step (b) and step (c) of this claim with successive new quality levels,
27 until the optimal quality level is determined.

28 17. (Original) The method of Claim 12, wherein the predefined limit is selected based upon
29 one of:

30 ///

1 (a) a storage capacity of a storage medium on which the compressed image files
2 are to be stored; and

3 (b) a maximum permissible size of an attachment to an email, wherein the
4 attachment comprises the compressed image files.

5 18. (Original) A memory media on which are stored machine instructions for carrying out
6 the steps of Claim 12.

7 Claims 19-28 (Previously Cancelled)

8 29. (Currently Amended) A system for automatically selecting a quality level when
9 compressing each of a set of image files to produce compressed image files, so that a total size of the
10 compressed image files does not exceed a predefined limit, comprising:

11 (a) a memory in which are stored a plurality of machine instructions, said memory
12 also storing the set of image files;

13 (b) a processor that is coupled to the memory, said processor executing the
14 plurality of machine instructions, causing the processor to:

15 (i) determine a maximally compressed file size for each image file when
16 compressed to a predefined minimum quality level and determine a nominal compressed file size
17 when compressed to a nominal quality level, and determine a weight for each image file based upon a
18 high frequency energy content of the image file;

19 (ii) identify image files that are to be compressed with a predefined
20 minimum quality level as a function of:

21 (1) the maximally compressed file size of each image file when
22 compressed to the predefined minimum quality level; and

23 (2) the weight of each image file;

24 (iii) for all other image files of the set that were not identified to be
25 compressed with the predefined minimum quality level in subparagraph (ii), determining a quality
26 level for compressing the other ~~images~~ image files so that each of the other image files is to be
27 compressed to a desired size selected as a function of the weight of the image file and so that the total
28 size of the compressed image files is does not exceed the predefined limit; and

29 ///

30 ///

1 (iv) compressing the image files identified in subparagraph (ii) with the
2 predefined minimum quality level, and compressing all the other image files not identified in
3 subparagraph (ii) with the quality level that was determined in subparagraph (iii).

4 30. (Original) The system of Claim 29, wherein the machine instructions cause the processor
5 to limit the quality level that is used for compressing the image files to a predetermined range that
6 extends from the predefined minimum quality level to a substantially higher predefined maximum
7 quality level.

8 31. (Original) The system of Claim 29, wherein the machine instructions cause the processor
9 to determine a scaling factor based upon a currently available space remaining for the compressed
10 files within the predefined limit and a total of the weight of all of the other image files, and to repeat
11 the identification of image files that will be compressed with the predefined minimum quality level in
12 successive passes through the set of image files, until a pass through the set of image files is
13 completed without identifying any additional image file to be compressed to the predefined minimum
14 quality level.

15 32. (Currently Amended) The system of Claim 29, wherein the machine instructions cause
16 the processor to determine the quality level that will be used for compressing the other image files in
17 subparagraph (iii) by:

18 (a) determining a desired size of the compressed image file for each of the other
19 image files in the set that was not identified in subparagraph (ii) of ~~Claim X29~~ Claim 29, said desired
20 size being determined as a function of the weight of the image file;

21 (b) determining an optimal quality level to apply to each image file to achieve the
22 desired size when the image file is compressed; and

23 (c) determining a difference between the desired size and an actual size of the
24 image file when it is compressed to the optimal quality level.

25 33. (Original) The system of Claim 32, wherein the machine instructions cause the processor
26 to determine the optimal quality level for each image file by:

27 (a) starting with the nominal quality level, determining if the nominal compressed
28 file size is less than the desired size by no more than a predefined difference, and if so, assigning the
29 nominal quality level as the optimal quality level; and if not,

30 ///

1 (b) reducing a range from which to select a new quality level to try as the optimal
2 quality level when compressing the image file, where the new quality level is determined using a
3 model relating image quality to compressed file size;

4 (c) determining if the compressed file size resulting from compressing the image
5 file using the new quality level is less than the desired size by no more than the predefined difference,
6 and if so, assigning the new quality level as the optimal quality level; and if not,

7 (d) repeating step (b) and step (c) of this claim with successive new quality levels,
8 until the optimal quality level is determined.

9 34. (Original) The system of Claim 29, further comprising a storage medium on which the
10 compressed image files are stored, wherein the machine instructions cause the processor to select the
11 predefined size limit based upon one of:

12 (a) a storage capacity of the storage medium; and

13 (b) a maximum permissible size of an attachment to an email, wherein the
14 attachment comprises the compressed image files.